

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM1 Achieving sustainable development	Policy DM1 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	On the basis of the review of the other policies below, Policy DM1 is considered to still be relevant and central to the successful implementation of the other local plan policies.	DM1 is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances that suggest the policy is not fit for purposes. No changes required to DM1.
DM2 Ensuring satisfactory living and working conditions	DM2 seeks to achieve the strategic aim of the NPPF to ensure that development provides for satisfactory living conditions for both existing and future occupiers. Therefore Policy DM2 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	The Development Management team, who have been implementing this policy, consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making. Furthermore, the Council won 67% of appeals on decisions which were refused on the grounds of policy DM2 in the period September 2018-September 2019.	DM1 is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances that suggest the policy is not fit for purposes. No changes required to DM2.
DM3 Delivering high quality design	New NPPF requirement to support opportunities for using airspace above existing buildings. DM3 does not currently refer to this but its content is considered sufficient to assess such applications. Policy DM3 could be updated to include specific reference to this.	The Council won 70% of appeal decisions which were refused on the grounds of policy DM3 in the period September 2018-September 2019 suggesting that the policy is fit for purpose for decision making. Some parts of DM3 are only applicable to major development types. The policy could be	Policy DM3 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
	Publication of the National Design Guide 2019 and updated Planning Practice Guidance: DM3 does not currently make reference to the use of the Guide and does not have a local design guide. There is the opportunity to produce a local design guide in future.	restructured to allow for more effective implementation. Various standards and guides are referred to within the policy which are no longer applicable or have been updated. DM3 could be updated to refer to the most up to date standards.	
DM4 Providing for renewable and low carbon energy	Policy DM4 seeks to achieve the aims of the NPPF to encourage renewable energy schemes and is therefore considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	According to the latest AMR 2017-2018, no specific renewable energy/low carbon schemes were submitted to the City Council during that monitoring period. This policy is infrequently used, however the Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) considered it is still fit for purpose. Following discussion at Sustainable Development Panel on 13 November 2019, Members recommended that a future review of this policy would be desirable.	Policy DM4 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.
DM5 Planning effectively for flood resilience	New NPPF strengthens wording on locating development away from areas at risk of flooding and requires sustainable drainage measures for major development. DM5 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	According to the latest AMR 2017-2018, there were no approvals of planning permission contrary to EA advice in relation to flooding. Observations by the Development Management team outline that the policy could provide more detailed advice on the type of assessment and measures required for different development types to provide clarity to developers. This could be included within the policy or as an SPD/guidance note.	Policy DM5 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM6 Protecting and enhancing the natural environment	The publication of the Environment Bill in October 2019 includes mandating biodiversity net gain and the requirement to produce nature recovery strategies identifying where compensatory provision of biodiversity can be delivered. It is not considered necessary to update DM6 in accordance with these proposals given that they are yet to be fully considered by Parliament and have not yet passed into law. However, DM6 will need to be kept under review when this situation changes.	As part of the latest informal review of the DMP 2017-2018, there was no reported loss of SSSI, CWS and CGS sites. The Development Management team, who have been implementing this policy, consider that it is still fit for purpose for decision making.	DM6 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.
DM7 Trees and Development	The publication of the Environment Bill in October 2019 includes mandating biodiversity net gain and the requirement to produce nature recovery strategies identifying where compensatory provision of biodiversity can be delivered. It is not considered necessary to update DM7 in accordance with these proposals given that they are yet to be fully considered by Parliament and have not yet passed into law. However, DM7 will need to be kept under review when this situation changes.	It has been observed that DM7 does not currently specify a method for calculating replacement biomass. Further clarity is required, however this could be provided in the form of an SPD/guidance note or review of the existing Landscape and Trees SPD. Policy DM7 refers to provision of new street trees. Highways responsibilities will transfer to the County Council at the end of the financial year as a result of the Highways Agency Agreement coming to an end. Policy DM7 may require a review to ensure the provision of street trees can be achieved in context of the administrative/management arrangements.	DM7 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.
DM8 Planning effectively for open space and recreation	The publication of the Environment Bill in October 2019 includes mandating biodiversity net gain and the requirement to produce nature recovery strategies identifying where compensatory provision of biodiversity can be delivered. It is not considered necessary to update DM8 in accordance with these	As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, it was reported that 5550m ² of open space was lost as a result of school expansions. However, the loss of this space was considered acceptable given that it was for necessary school expansion and included alternative recreational uses.	DM8 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
	proposals given that they are yet to be fully considered by Parliament and have not yet passed into law. However, DM8 will need to be kept under review when this situation changes.	Policy DM8 was produced on the basis of an Open Space Needs Assessment (OSNA) conducted in 2007. It is likely that the situation on the ground has change. A revised OSNA is currently underway and should be used to inform any policy changes.	considered desirable.
DM9 Safeguarding Norwich's heritage	<p>Publication of the National Design Guide 2019 and updated Planning Practice Guidance. DM3 does not currently make reference to the use of the Guide and does not have a local design guide. There is the opportunity to produce a local design guide in future. The Council currently makes use of Conservation Area Appraisals in assessing applications.</p> <p>PPG has been updated requiring lists of non-designated heritage assets to be produced. DM9 refers to non-designated assets for consideration in planning applications. A non-designated heritage asset list may need to be drawn up in future, however this does not require alteration to DM9.</p> <p>Plans announced to require all authorities to produce a locally designated heritage asset list. Norwich City Council currently makes use of a local list produced by The Norwich Society.</p>	<p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, no listed buildings were reported as demolished within the monitoring. 31 assets were included on the Heritage at Risk Register which represented a very slight increase from the year before.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) still consider this policy is fit for purpose for decision making. In addition, the Council won (or won in part) 60% of appeal decisions which were refused on the grounds of policy DM9 in the period September 2018-September 2019.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM9.</p>
DM10 Supporting the delivery of	The policy seeks to encourage the sharing of facilities. Policy DM10 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, there was a reported decrease in the number of telecoms permissions allowed in	DM10 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
communications infrastructure	At the time of undertaking this review, MHCLG were undertaking a consultation on 'Proposed reforms to permitted development rights to support the deployment of 5G and extend mobile coverage'. Policy DM10 will need to be kept under review to reflect any anticipated changes in national policy and guidance, for example as a result of any changes to permitted development rights (as above) or any new legislation relating to telecommunications.	conservation areas (or other protected areas) within the monitoring period. Policy DM10 is in line with the aims of the NSPF which has been undertaking work to aid improvements to existing network coverage and enable the roll out of 5G across Norfolk.	however a future review is considered desirable.
DM11 Protecting against environmental hazards	The publication of the Environment Bill in October 2019 and includes changes in relation to air and water quality etc. It is not considered necessary to update DM11 in accordance with these proposals given that they are yet to be fully considered by Parliament and have not yet passed into law. However, DM11 will need to be kept under review when this situation changes.	As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, there was a reported reduction in NO2 emissions and either no change or slight increase in particulates (location dependent). This will continue to be monitored for the period 2018-2019. In the latest AMR of the JCS for the monitoring year 2017-2018, both Domestic, and Industry and Commerce CO2 emissions were reduced compared to the previous monitoring period. Emissions from Transport, however, saw a slight increase. This will continue to be monitored for the period 2018-2019. DM11 may require an update in relation to Health and Safety Executive Areas as a result of granted permissions. The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) considered it is still fit for purpose for decision making.	DM11 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM12 Ensuring well-planned housing development	<p>The new NPPF includes significant information in relation to housing need and delivery. These matters are being considered in the preparation of the GNLP. Other changes relate to the need to consider housing in town centres. DM12 (along with DM20 and DM21) already made provision for this. Therefore, policy DM12 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p> <p>The current consultation on updating Building Regulations referring to energy efficiency of homes may need to be reflected within DM12, however it is not clear whether these changes will formally be brought forward.</p>	<p>In the latest AMR of the JCS for the monitoring year 2017-2018, it was reported that there was a decrease in housing delivery to 237 units from 445 units in the previous year. The housing delivery data from 2018 -2019 collected at the time of the above report showed that units delivered had significantly increased to 1084 units suggesting that policies continue to contribute to housing delivery.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) consider that it is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p> <p>DM12 refers to a number of standards (such as Lifetime Homes standard) which are no longer relevant or have been superseded. As part of any future review DM12 could be updated to refer to any new standards.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM12.</p>
DM13 Communal development and multiple occupation	<p>Policy DM13 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>The Council have recently taken a stronger stance in relation to large HMOs and have won 100% of appeals against applications for large HMOs in the period September 2018-October 2019. This suggests that policy DM13 is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p> <p>There has been a significant increase in Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA) recently. The Council has produced a PBSA guidance note to support decision making alongside DM13.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM13.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.	
DM14 Meeting the needs of Gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople	The updated version of the NPPF does not include any changes in relation to accommodation needs for Gypsy, traveller and showpeople. Therefore DM14 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	The emerging Greater Norwich Local Plan will include a criteria based policy relating to development of gypsy and traveller sites, travelling showpeople sites and residential caravans, which will supersede DM14. The GNLP policy will be based on updated evidence: the Norfolk Caravans and Houseboats Needs Assessment (RRR Consultancy, 2017). Policy DM14 refers to the need for additional pitches in Norwich to be provided by end of March 2016. There is current planning consent for 13 units - development is expected to commence by the end of this year.	The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes. No changes required to DM14.
DM15 Safeguarding the City's housing stock	The updated NPPF continues to place great emphasis on the ability of local authorities to meet the housing need for their area. Policy DM15 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF to ensure that housing is not lost from the City unnecessarily. Therefore DM15 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, the loss of two residential properties to other uses were reported. However, in one case this loss was to provide a Class C2 residential institution and in the other case the loss was the combining of two flats to create one dwelling. Therefore, the changes have occurred to uses which still provide some form of residential accommodation. Similarly, the 2017-2018 informal review of the DMP reported the construction of student accommodation on land partly allocated for housing (Site Allocation Policy CC27). However, this proposal has also brought forward some form of residential accommodation.	The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for purpose. No changes required to DM15

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.	
DM16 Supporting the needs of business	<p>The new NPPF and updated PPG include additional reference to understanding business needs and national economic trends, and recognising different locational requirements of different sectors.</p> <p>The strategic vision for growth and the economic development for the Greater Norwich area are covered by the JCS, which is being reviewed as part of the preparation of the GNLP.</p> <p>Therefore DM16 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, it was identified has been a net loss of employment uses across the city, although this loss is reported as greater outside of defined employment areas. In discussion with the Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy), it is considered that some of this loss is likely due to a proliferation of main town centre uses being permitted in employment areas. The Development Management team consider this policy is still currently fit for purpose for decision making, however it is suggested that further evidence may be required to investigate observed trends further.</p>	DM16 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.
DM17 Supporting small business	<p>The new NPPF and updated PPG include additional reference to understanding business needs and national economic trends, and recognising different locational requirements of different sectors.</p> <p>The policy includes reference to allowing other uses in employment areas where it can be demonstrated that there is no viable prospect of it continuing to be used for business purposes and therefore DM17 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, it was reported that there was an increase in office space less than 1500m2 and an increase in new small/medium business space in the city. These trends suggest that the policy is successfully promoting new small business spaces and is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) have observed a proliferation of main town centre uses being permitted in employment areas. Therefore, it is suggested that further evidence may be required to investigate observed trends further.</p>	DM17 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM18 Promoting and supporting centres	<p>The new NPPF increases the emphasis on encouraging housing within centres. DM18 does not specifically refer to this, however this is covered in the application of policy DM12, DM20 and DM21.</p> <p>Therefore policy DM18 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>The results of the latest retail monitor report for 2018 outlined that whilst there were changes in the vacancy rates etc. within the city centre, Norwich is still considered to be a thriving destination centre that has a diverse offering.</p> <p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, it was reported that a greater proportion of main town centre uses were permitted outside of defined centres compared to within these designated areas. However, this data does not specify what proportion of the development permitted outside of the defined centres was located in edge of centre locations.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) have observed a proliferation of main town centre uses being permitted in employment areas. Therefore, it is suggested that further evidence may be required to investigate observed trends further.</p> <p>The Development Management team have also identified areas of the policy which would benefit from greater clarity on wording.</p>	<p>DM18 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.</p>
DM19 Encouraging and promoting major office growth	<p>The new NPPF removes reference to the need for an impact assessment for office development outside of defined centres. DM19 will require an update to reflect this. The provisions of the updated NPPF are considered sufficient for decision making purposes until DM19 can be updated as above.</p>	<p>As part of the latest AMR of the JCS 2017-2018, a net loss of office space of 40,205m2 was reported for Norwich. In the period 2008-2018, there has been overall net reduction in office space of approx. 25.8%. Much of the lost office space is as a result of the ability to change B1 office into residential units under the prior approval process. The Council are considering the use of an Article 4 Direction in order to</p>	<p>DM19 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		<p>protect against the inappropriate loss of floorspace, which would not require alteration to policy DM19</p> <p>A Greater Norwich Employment Town centre & Retail Study: Strategy Advice 2017 was recently prepared as part of the evidence base for the GNLP. This provides updated evidence to that considered in the preparation of the DMP. Therefore DM19 may need to be reviewed in light of this evidence.</p> <p>The Office Priority Area needs review as it currently contains sites which have been brought forward for alternative uses than those envisaged in the Site Allocations plan.</p>	
DM20 Promoting and supporting city centre shopping	The new NPPF includes emphasis on the need for local authorities to respond to rapid changes in retail and leisure. DM20 should be read in conjunction with the associated Main Town Centre Uses and Retail Frontages SPD. This SPD provides guidance on thresholds for uses in these centres and encouraging beneficial supporting services. Therefore policy DM20 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, it was reported that none of the identified retail frontages/areas referred to in policy DM20 were operating with the proportion of retail frontage below the threshold outlined in the SPD. This indicates that the policy has been implemented successfully.</p> <p>The Greater Norwich Employment Town centre & Retail Study: Strategy Advice 2017 was prepared as part of the evidence base for the GNLP. Policy DM20 is considered to be consistent with this evidence.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy, consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM20.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM21 Protecting and supporting district and local shopping centres	The new NPPF includes emphasis on the need for local authorities to respond to rapid changes in retail and leisure. Policy DM21 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>The latest retail monitor report 2018 outlined that whilst there were changes in the vacancy rates and percentages of retail occupation, overall, the district and local centres continue to be perform their function and to offer an appropriate range of local services and facilities. This would suggest that the current policy is being implemented effectively.</p> <p>The Greater Norwich Employment Town centre & Retail Study: Strategy Advice 2017 was prepared as part of the evidence base for the GNLP. Policy DM21 is considered to be consistent with this evidence.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy, consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM21.</p>
DM22 Planning for and safeguarding community facilities	Policy DM22 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, an increase in the amount of community facility and educational/training floorspace was reported compared with the previous years.</p> <p>Two protected community public houses (outlined in Appendix 5 of the DMP) were lost to other uses. As part of any future review, the list of protected pubs in Appendix 5 should be updated to accurately reflect changes on the ground.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy, consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM22.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
DM23 Supporting and managing the evening economy	The new NPPF includes reference to the 'agent of change' principle. Although there is no specific reference to this within DM23, the provisions of the updated NPPF are considered sufficient for decision making purposes in this respect and therefore no alteration to DM23 is required.	It has been observed that the boundary of the Late Night Activity Zone may need reviewing to reflect changes on the ground. The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) consider policy DM24 is still fit for purpose for decision making at the current time. However, it is recommended that further evidence is required in relation to the above which may inform future policy changes.	DM23 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.
DM24 Managing the impacts of hot food takeaways	This policy is intended to be read in conjunction with other policies in the plan (E.g. DM2, DM20, DM21 etc.) DM24 (and related policies) is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	The 2016/2017 AMR report outlined that more A5 hot food takeaway uses have been permitted within centres than outside of centres, suggesting that the location of these services is being directed to the most appropriate locations. In addition, the Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) consider that the policy is still fit for purpose for decision making.	The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for purpose. No changes required to DM24.
DM25 Retail warehousing	DM25 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	As part of recent monitoring of DM policies in 2017-2018, there were no reported approvals or refusals of permission to relax restrictions relating to retail warehousing. The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) considered it still fit for purpose for decision making.	The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for purpose.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
			No changes required to DM25.
DM26 Supporting development at the University of East Anglia (UEA)	DM26 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>The University has produced the following documents since the adoption of the plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel Plan Strategy • Draft Development Framework Strategy <p>The Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) consider it still fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for purpose.</p> <p>No changes required to DM26.</p>
DM27 Development at Norwich Airport	<p>The new NPPF emphasises the importance of general aviation airfields and provision/support of large scale infrastructure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Para 104 e): authorities should provide for any large scale transport facilities which need to be located in their area, including supporting their operation, expansion and contribution to the wider economy. • Para 104 f) importance of maintaining a national network of general aviation airfield and their need to adapt and change over time. 	<p>The latest version of the Airport Masterplan was endorsed by the City Council in October 2019 and will provide guidance for development in accordance with policy DM27.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) considered that the policy is still fit for purpose for decision making, however, it is acknowledged that as part of any future review the text of DM27 will need to be updated to refer to the new Masterplan and the airport operational boundary will need to be adjusted as necessary.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM27.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
	DM27 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.		
DM28 Encouraging sustainable travel	<p>The new NPPF includes reference to the need to consider strategic transport issues and ensuring allocated sites promote sustainable transport modes. Both of these strategic matters will be covered by the GNLP.</p> <p>DM28 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>This policy is considered to be in line with the aims of the NSPF to ensure appropriate transportation is available for residents, although it is acknowledged that the NSPF also focuses on the strategic transport network which is covered by the GNLP.</p> <p>The Transportation team and Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) consider that the policy is still relevant and fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM28.</p>
DM29 Managing car parking demand in the city centre	<p>The new NPPF requires that clear and compelling justification must be given for maximum parking standards. Parking standards (included in Appendix 3 of the DMP) were included in the DMP to support sustainable transport, taking account of the urban city environment and road congestion.</p> <p>DM29 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.</p>	<p>The Transportation team have confirmed that the current number of off-street parking spaces available is approx. 9,965, below the threshold of 10,000 set out within the policy.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) have observed that the policy makes no provision for restricting/assessing proposals for new car parks that are located just outside of the city centre parking area. This should be considered as part of any future review.</p> <p>As part of Transforming Cities, the Council are considering mobility hubs around the city to encourage and enable sustainable travel. DM29 may require future review to accord with any transport strategies.</p>	<p>DM29 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) consider that DM29 is fit for purpose for decision making.	
DM30 Access and highway safety	DM30 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>Developments continue to be designed to achieve 20mph traffic zones. This is supported by wider transport strategies including speed limits as part Transforming Cities projects.</p> <p>The Development Management team (who are implementing this policy) consider it is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM30.</p>
DM31 Car parking and servicing	<p>The new NPPF requires that clear and compelling justification must be given for maximum parking standards. Parking standards (included in Appendix 3 of the DMP) were included in the DMP to support sustainable transport, taking account of the urban city environment and road congestion.</p> <p>The new NPPF also emphasises the need to ensure adequate provision for plug-in and other ultra- low emissions vehicles.</p>	<p>The City Council have won 100% of appeals based on decisions made in relation to policy DM31 in the period September 2018 - September 2019 suggesting the policy is still fit for purpose for decision making.</p> <p>As part of Transforming Cities, the Council are considering mobility hubs around the city to encourage and enable sustainable travel. DM31 may require future review to accord with any transport strategies.</p> <p>The Transportation team and Development Management team highlighted that the parking standard standards relating to EV charging points require revision to encourage the use of</p>	<p>DM31 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.</p>

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		low and ultra-low emissions vehicles and to ensure appropriate infrastructure is in place for future anticipated increase in these modes of transport. Therefore, further work will be required to understand future demand for this infrastructure to inform any policy changes.	
DM32 Encouraging car free and low car housing	DM32 is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the updated NPPF.	<p>The Transportation team and Development Management team (who have been implementing this policy) consider that it is still fit for purpose for decision making. There continues to be approval of applications that are car free or low car housing schemes in appropriate locations.</p> <p>As part of Transforming Cities, the Council are considering mobility hubs around the city to encourage and enable sustainable travel. DM32 may require future review to accord with any transport strategies.</p> <p>Appendix 3 which relates to parking standards may benefit from review.</p>	<p>The policy is considered to be in line with national policy and there are no local circumstances or evidence that suggests the policy is not fit for DM purposes.</p> <p>No changes required to DM32.</p>
DM33 Planning obligations and development viability	The general principles of the policy are in accordance with the new NPPF.	Although the policy is still considered fit for purpose for planning decision-making purposes overall, the S123 list which it refers to is now no longer a requirement (the CIL regulations have replaced this with a requirement for an Infrastructure funding statement), and the Planning Obligations Prioritisation Framework is no longer relevant. Planning practice guidance has been updated recently in relation to viability so the policy would benefit from review in due	DM33 is considered to be fit for purpose at the present time however a future review is considered desirable.

Appendix 1: DM Policies Plan Review Table

Policy	Conformity with National Policy	Evidence and change to local circumstances	Recommendation
		course to reflect this and the changes referred to above.	