



Public Protection (food & safety)

Food Premises Inspection Report

Name of business:	Marks & Spencer
Address of food business:	Marks And Spencer Rampant Horse Street Norwich NR2 1QR
Date of inspection:	14/03/2022
Risk rating reference:	22/00176/FOOD
Premises reference:	13560/4000/0/000
Type of premises:	Healthier Options Norfolk award
Areas inspected:	All
Records examined:	FSMS, Temperature Control Records, Training Certificates/records, Pest Control Report, Cleaning Schedule
Details of samples procured:	None
Summary of action taken:	Informal
General description of business:	Large supermarket with several restaurants in store. Serving local community

Relevant Legislation

Food Safety Act 1990 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 applied by The General Food Regulations 2004 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and No 853/2004 applied by Regulation 19 (1) of the Food
Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013

Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and related regulations

Food Information Regulations 2014

What you must do to comply with the law

The report may include **Contraventions** - matters which do not comply with the law . You must address all of the contraventions identified; failure to do so could result in legal action being taken against you.

As a guide, contraventions relating to cleaning, temperature control and poor practice, should be dealt with straight away.

Contraventions relating to structural repairs, your food safety management system and staff training, should be completed within the next 2 months.

Health and safety contraventions should be dealt with within 3 months unless otherwise stated.

To assist you the report may also include **Observations** of current practice, useful **Information, Recommendations** of good practice and reminders of **Legal Requirements** .

My inspection was not intended to identify every contravention of the law and only covers those areas, practices and procedures examined at the time of the inspection. If the report fails to mention a particular matter this does not mean you have necessarily complied with the law.

FOOD SAFETY

How we calculate your Food Hygiene Rating:

The food safety section has been divided into the three areas which you are scored against for the hygiene rating: 1. food hygiene and safety procedures, 2. structural requirements and 3. confidence in management/control procedures. Each section begins with a summary of what was observed and the score you have been given. Details of how these scores combine to produce your overall food hygiene rating are shown in the table.

Compliance Area	You Score					
Food Hygiene and Safety	0	5	10	15	20	25
Structure and Cleaning	0	5	10	15	20	25
Confidence in management & control systems	0	5	10	15	20	30
Your Total score	0 - 15	20	25 - 30	35 - 40	45 - 50	> 50
Your Worst score	5	10	10	15	20	-
Your Rating is	5	4	3	2	1	0

Your Food Hygiene Rating is 5 - a very good standard



1. Food Hygiene and Safety

You have a good track record. There are some minor contraventions which require your attention. **(Score 5)**

Contamination risks

Observation I was pleased to see you were able to demonstrate effective controls to prevent cross-contamination.

Hand-washing

Observation I was pleased to see hand washing was well managed.

Temperature Control

Observation I was pleased to see you were able to limit bacterial growth and/or survival by applying appropriate temperature controls at points critical to food safety and that you were monitoring temperatures.

Unfit food

Contravention The following foods were past the 'USE BY' date and were thrown away:

- 3 cartons of cheese and tomato pasta

2. Structure and Cleaning

The structure facilities and standard of cleaning and maintenance are all of a good standard and only minor repairs and/or improvements are required. Pest control and waste disposal provisions are adequate. The minor contraventions require your attention. **(Score 5)**

Cleaning of Structure

Observation The kitchen had been well maintained and the standard of cleaning was good.

Observation The kitchen had been well maintained and the standard of cleaning was exceptionally high.

Pest Control

Contravention Pest proofing is inadequate particularly in the following areas:

- no fly screens to open windows in one of the main kitchens

3. Confidence in Management

A food safety management system is in place and you demonstrate a very good standard of compliance with the law. You have a good track record. There are some minor contraventions which require your attention. Your records are appropriate and generally maintained. Your staff are suitably supervised and trained. You have a good track record. There are some minor contraventions which require your attention. **(Score 5)**

Type of Food Safety Management System Required

Observation Your SFBB/food safety management system was in place and working well. I was confident you had effective control over hazards to food.

Observation You were date labelling perishable foods appropriately and could demonstrate effective control over food spoilage organisms.

Observation You had colour-coded equipment and effective separation between raw and ready-to-eat food at all stages in your operation.

Observation You were monitoring (and recording) the temperatures of your fridges and freezers as well as the temperature of cooked/hot-held food and could demonstrate effective systems for controlling bacterial growth and survival.

Proving Your Arrangements are Working Well

Contravention The following matters are needed in order to demonstrate your food safety management system is working as it should:

- you use a white board to determine when the 2 hour rule on the holding time-scale is reached for hot held products in ground floor restaurant. When the check has been carried i.e. 10:00 hours for pies the product is to be 'rubbed out' from the white board. However, when queried during my visit this system had failed because although the physical check had been carried out it was still showing as not done/missed on the white board.

Traceability

Observation Your records were such that food could easily be traced back to its supplier.

Training

Observation I was pleased to see that food handlers had been trained to an appropriate level and evidence of their training was made available.

Allergens

Observation You had identified the presence of allergens in your non-prepacked food and had brought this to the attention of your customers.

Observation You had clearly shown the presence of allergens in your food on your menu.

Observation You had devised a chart listing all the food you provide with the allergens present in each and had brought this to the attention of your staff and customers.

Observation You had labelled your prepacked for direct sale (PPDS) food with the name of the food and the ingredients with the allergens emphasised.