



## Public Protection (food & safety)

### Food Premises Inspection Report

Name of business:	Star Fish Bar
Address of food business:	2 Silver Street Norwich NR3 4TT
Date of inspection:	09/03/2023
Risk rating reference:	23/00221/FOOD
Premises reference:	20/00441/FD_HS
Type of premises:	Food take away premises
Areas inspected:	All
Records examined:	SFBB, Training Certificates/records
Details of samples procured:	None
Summary of action taken:	Informal
General description of business:	Fish and chip takeaway

### Relevant Legislation

Food Safety Act 1990 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 applied by The General Food Regulations 2004 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and No 853/2004 applied by Regulation 19 (1) of the Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013

Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and related regulations

Food Information Regulations 2014

### What you must do to comply with the law

The report may include **Contraventions** - matters which do not comply with the law . You must address all of the contraventions identified; failure to do so could result in legal action being taken against you.

As a guide, contraventions relating to cleaning, temperature control and poor practice, should be dealt with straight away.

Contraventions relating to structural repairs, your food safety management system and staff training, should be completed within the next 2 months.

Health and safety contraventions should be dealt with within 3 months unless otherwise stated.

To assist you the report may also include **Observations** of current practice, useful **Information, Recommendations** of good practice and reminders of **Legal Requirements** .

My inspection was not intended to identify every contravention of the law and only covers those areas, practices and procedures examined at the time of the inspection. If the report fails to mention a particular matter this does not mean you have necessarily complied with the law.

## FOOD SAFETY

### How we calculate your Food Hygiene Rating:

The food safety section has been divided into the three areas which you are scored against for the hygiene rating: 1. food hygiene and safety procedures, 2. structural requirements and 3. confidence in management/control procedures. Each section begins with a summary of what was observed and the score you have been given. Details of how these scores combine to produce your overall food hygiene rating are shown in the table.

Compliance Area	You Score					
Food Hygiene and Safety	0	5	10	15	20	25
Structure and Cleaning	0	5	10	15	20	25
Confidence in management & control systems	0	5	10	15	20	30
<b>Your Total score</b>	<b>0 - 15</b>	20	25 - 30	35 - 40	45 - 50	> 50
<b>Your Worst score</b>	<b>5</b>	10	10	15	20	-
<b>Your Rating is</b>	<b>5</b>	4	3	2	1	0

Your Food Hygiene Rating is 5 - a very good standard



### 1. Food Hygiene and Safety

Food Hygiene standards are excellent. You demonstrated full compliance with legal requirements. You have safe food handling practices and procedures and all the necessary control measures to prevent cross-contamination are in place. **(Score 0)**

#### Contamination risks

**Observation** I was pleased to see you were able to demonstrate effective controls to prevent cross-contamination.

#### Hand-washing

**Observation** I was pleased to see handwashing was well managed.

#### Personal Hygiene

**Observation** I was pleased to see that standards of personal hygiene were high.

## Temperature Control

**Observation** I was pleased to see you were able to limit bacterial growth and/or survival by applying appropriate temperature controls at points critical to food safety and that you were monitoring temperatures.

## **2. Structure and Cleaning**

The structure facilities and standard of cleaning and maintenance are all of a good standard and only minor repairs and/or improvements are required. Pest control and waste disposal provisions are adequate. The minor contraventions require your attention. **(Score 5)**

### Cleaning of Structure

**Observation** The kitchen had been well maintained and the standard of cleaning was good.

### Cleaning of Equipment and Food Contact Surfaces

**Observation** You had dedicated equipment for the preparation of raw and for ready-to-eat foods.

**Contravention** The industrial tin opener surface was worn and the blade was dirty.

### Cleaning Chemicals / Materials / Equipment and Methods

**Observation** I was pleased to see that the premises was kept clean and that your cleaning materials, methods and equipment were able to minimise the spread of harmful bacteria between surfaces.

### Maintenance

**Observation** You are maintaining the premises in good condition.

### Facilities and Structural provision

**Observation** I was pleased to see the premises had been well maintained and that adequate facilities had been provided.

## **3. Confidence in Management**

A food safety management system is in place and you demonstrate a very good standard of compliance with the law. You have a good track record. There are some minor contraventions which require your attention. Your records are appropriate and generally

maintained. Your staff are suitably supervised and trained. You have a good track record. There are some minor contraventions which require your attention. **(Score 5)**

#### Type of Food Safety Management System Required

**Observation** Your SFBB/food safety management system was in place and working well. I was confident you had effective control over hazards to food.

**Observation** You were monitoring (and recording) the temperatures of your fridges and freezers well as the temperature of cooked/hot-held food and could demonstrate effective systems for controlling bacterial growth and survival.

#### Food Hazard Identification and Control

**Legal Requirement** 4 weekly reviews to be completed.

#### Waste Food and other Refuse

**Observation** You had measures in place to dispose of waste food appropriately and were employing the services of an approved waste contractor.

#### Training

**Observation** I was pleased to see that food handlers had been trained to an appropriate level and evidence of their training was made available.